



**Pennsylvania Geographic
Society (PGS)
Map Exhibition Judging Form**

Poster _____

Judge _____

Each poster will be judged according to the following categories: *clarity of basic information, quality of research, figures/illustrations and conclusions*. A judge can assign a value of 1-10 for each category. A poster can score a possible 40 points. Decimal scoring (*i.e.* 8.5) will not be used.

Total Points = _____ + _____ + _____ + _____ = _____
 Basic Info Research: Objectives Results Visuals Total

1.0 Basic Information

Excellent 9-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title is clear and concise - Poster includes all names, collaborators, advisors, and so on - Acknowledgements are clear (funding resources, departments, etc)
Good 7-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title is fairly clear (perhaps a bit too long or short) - Poster lacks one piece of information regarding names, collaborators, and advisors - Acknowledgements are clear (funding resources, departments, etc)
Limited 5-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title is somewhat vague or unclear - Poster lacks two pieces of information regarding names, collaborators, and advisors - Acknowledgements are unclear or missing
Poor 1-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title is unclear - Poster lacks most information regarding names, collaborators, advisors, and so on - Acknowledgements are unclear or missing

2.0 Research: Objectives and Methodology

Excellent 9-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives clearly stated - Significance to the field and/or society is identified clearly - Appropriate methodology is used and explained
Good 7-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives clearly stated - Significance to the field and/or society is somewhat clear - Appropriate methodology is used but not fully explained
Limited 5-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives are stated but unclear - Significance to the field and/or society is somewhat clear - Appropriate methodology is used but not fully explained
Poor 1-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objectives are unclear or unstated - Significance to the field and/or society is not identified - Methodology is not clearly identified or explained

3.0 Project Results

Excellent 9-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quantity and quality of information presented in support of objectives is balanced- Interpretation of results and conclusions are sound and clear- Limitations of research are addressed- Future study discussed and linked to conclusions and limitations
Good 7-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quantity and quality of information presented in support of objectives is balanced- Interpretation of results and conclusions are sound and clear- Limitations of research are partly addressed- Future study discussed and partly linked to conclusions and limitations
Limited 5-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quantity and quality of information presented in support of objectives is unbalanced- Interpretation of results and conclusions seem sound but are unclear- Limitations of research are partly addressed- Future study discussed and partly linked to conclusions and limitations
Poor 1-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Quantity and quality of information presented in support of objectives is lacking- Interpretation of results and conclusions are unclear- Limitations of research not addressed- Future study not discussed or not linked to conclusions and limitations

4.0 Poster: Visuals

Excellent 9-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Title and main headings are clearly readable from 4-6 feet away- Appropriate balance of figures/illustrations and text- Figures/illustrations are clear and enhance the project- Jargon is avoided or explained clearly; spelling and grammar is correct
Good 7-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Title and main headings are readable from 4-6 feet away but somewhat unclear- Appropriate balance of figures/illustrations and text- Figures/illustrations are clear and enhance the project- Jargon is not always clear; minor spelling and/or grammar errors
Limited 5-6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Title and main headings are readable from 4-6 feet away but somewhat unclear- Balance of figures/illustrations and text is inappropriate- Figures/illustrations may be clear but do not always enhance the project- Jargon is not always clear; there are a few spelling and/or grammar errors
Poor 1-4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Title and main headings are not readable from 4-6 feet away- Includes too much text or too many figures/illustrations- Figures/illustrations are unclear or nonexistent- Jargon is usually unclear; many significant spelling and/or grammar errors